Evidence for magnetic dimers in the anisotropic bilayer system La$_{1.2}$Sr$_{1.8}$Mn$_2$O$_7$: An EXAFS study
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A temperature-dependent EXAFS investigation of La$_{1.2}$Sr$_{1.8}$Mn$_2$O$_7$, with x rays polarized parallel to the c axis and in the ab plane, has shown an extremely sharp and anisotropic jump in the broadening $\sigma$ of the Mn-O pair-distribution function (PDF) near the ferromagnetic transition temperature, $T_c$. This jump is associated with an increase in Jahn-Teller (JT) distortions as the temperature increases through $T_c$. The data show that changes in $\sigma^2$ as $T$ is lowered below $T_c$ is linearly correlated with the sample magnetization, and that there is a break in the slope of this correlation when the sample is $\sim$80% magnetized, consistent with the recently proposed dimeron model. A JT distortion clearly exists well above $T_c$ and some distortions still remain for a range of temperatures below $T_c$.

In agreement with recent work on the La$_{1.2}$Sr$_{1.8}$Ca$_{0.3}$Mn$_2$O$_7$ (LCMO) system, the data indicate that two types of distorted sites must occur; one is associated with the hole charge carriers (two-site polaron called a dimeron) and has a very small distortion/site; the second is associated with unpaired electron sites and has a similar distortion/site as observed for corresponding sites in LCMO. Furthermore, the broadening of the Mn-O PDF shows a second and more subtle increase above $T_c$ near $T' = 250$ K, a change in structure that correlates well with features in several other experiments.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In 1996, Moritomo et al.\textsuperscript{1} reported a new colossal magnetoresistance (CMR) material: La$_{3-x}$Sr$_x$Mn$_2$O$_7$ (LSMO) with $x=0.4$. It is an $n=2$ Ruddlesden-Popper phase, layered perovskite, and a cousin to the archetypal CMR materials, La$_x$A$_{1-x}$MnO$_3$, where A is Sr, Ca, Pb, etc. Measurements on these layered manganites show a very large and anisotropic negative magnetoresistance (MR), particularly near the ferromagnetic transition temperature, $T_c$.

Early studies of the material by Mitchell, Argyriou, and co-workers show changes in lattice distortions, bond lengths, and Debye-Waller factors that are correlated with the ferromagnetic transition at $T_c$.\textsuperscript{2–4} In addition, they report anisotropic magnetostriction within the material: contraction along the c axis and expansion within the ab plane near $T_c$. These studies also show some short-range magnetic order between $T_c$ and $T' \sim 300$ K.\textsuperscript{3} A more recent neutron-scattering study of this material by Argyriou et al. shows a polaron-liquid to polaron-glass transition as the temperature is lowered through $T' \sim 310$ K.\textsuperscript{5} Other research on the material suggests a second transition near $T''$ [or even a third and fourth transition above $T''$ (Ref. 6)] in ac susceptibility, high-frequency magneto-impedance, and in variations of the Mn-O bond length in this temperature range.\textsuperscript{2–4,7}

In this paper, we apply the EXAFS technique to LSMO $x=0.4$ to examine temperature-dependent changes in the local structure. We aim to show that local structure dynamics are crucial to understand CMR in this class of materials.

Although no structural studies by EXAFS have yet been published, one neutron pair distribution function (PDF) study has been carried out for the bilayer $x=0.3$ system;\textsuperscript{8} however, this system is expected to have a different behavior from the $x=0.4$ sample considered here.\textsuperscript{9} Some preliminary (unpublished) EXAFS results have conflicting results about the magnitude of the distortion along the c axis and within the ab plane.\textsuperscript{10,11}

A number of EXAFS studies of the pseudocubic manganites La$_{1-x}$Ca$_x$MnO$_3$ (LCMO) have been performed (see Refs. 12–16 and references therein) to probe distortions around the Mn site as a function of temperature, sample magnetization, and hole concentration (the nominal hole concentration is approximately the dopant concentration). A summary of these results, including a recent study,\textsuperscript{17} are the following: (i) there is a rapid increase in the broadening, $\sigma$, of the Mn-O pair distribution function [attributed to the formation of Jahn-Teller (JT) polarons] as the temperature is increased up to $T_c$ over the entire concentration range that exhibits CMR behavior; above $T_c$, the increase of $\sigma$ with $T$ is slow; (ii) upon cooling below $T_c$, the distortion removed, $D=\Delta(\sigma^2)$, initially is small and increases linearly with the sample’s magnetization $M$; (iii) when the fractional magnetization, $M/M_N$ ($M_N$ is the saturation magnetization at low $T$) reaches approximately twice the hole concentration, $2x$, there is a rapid increase in the slope of $D$ versus $M/M_N$; (iv) measurements as a function of magnetic field\textsuperscript{16,17} show that broadening associated with the formation of JT polarons is spread over a wider $T$ range, yet the plot of $D$ versus $M/M_N$ is nearly universal; the distortion $D$ is determined primarily by $M$ and is independent of whether the value of $M$ is achieved via a change in $B$ or in $T$.

In the most recent EXAFS work, Downward et al.\textsuperscript{17} proposed a model where $M$ develops via the aggregation of two-site polarons (Downward et al. call them “dimers”) or multiples of such pairs; we will use the term dimeron to refer to a two-site polaron here. The dimers consist of pairs of coupled Mn sites with aligned spins; initially one Mn site would correspond to an $e_g$ electron site while the other is a hole site.\textsuperscript{17} The two Mn spins are coupled via double exchange (DE), mediated by an electron (or hole) hopping rap-
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II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS AND THE EXAFS TECHNIQUE

The La$_{1.2}$Sr$_{1.8}$Mn$_2$O$_7$ single crystal was melt-grown in an O$_2$ environment using an optical image furnace as described in detail in Ref. 2. A sample slice was cut from the resulting boule with the surface roughly 45$^\circ$ to the c axis and 45$^\circ$ to the ab plane.

Mn K-edge EXAFS data were collected over a range of temperatures (3–300 K) at the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory (SSRL) on beamline 10-2 and at the Advanced Photon Source (APS) on beamline BM20, using x rays with the polarization P in the ab plane or parallel to the c axis; this provides independent probes of the distortions in these two directions. In Fig. 1, we show the 45$^\circ$ sample slice and its orientation in the x-ray beam for these two polarizations.

Fits of the EXAFS data were carried out using the EXAFS equation, which is given by

$$k\chi(k) = \sum_i k\chi_i(k)$$

$$= \text{Im} \sum_i A_i \int_0^\infty F_i(k,r) g_i(r_0, r) e^{i[2kr_2 + 2\delta_i(k) + \delta_b(k)]} dr,$$  

(1)

$$A_i = N_i S_i^2,$$  

(2)

where $g_i(r_0, r)$ is the pair distribution function (PDF) for the atoms at a distance $r_0$ (for the $i$th shell), $F_i(k,r)$ is the back-scattering amplitude, and $\delta_i(k)$ is the phase shifts from the central and backscattering atom potentials. We will assume a Gaussian PDF with a width $\sigma_i$ for the first shell. There is also an implicit parameter, $\Delta E_0$, that describes a difference in $E_0$ between the value defined for the data and the theoretical functions (for which $k=0$ at $E_0$). The amplitude $A_i$ is the product of the coordination number $N_i$ from diffraction, and $S_i^2$ the amplitude reduction factor due mainly to multielectron excitations. The fits to be discussed were also require theoretical EXAFS functions—these were calculated using the code FEFF 8.10—developed by Rehr and co-workers.

For comparisons of $\sigma^2$ with the sample magnetization $M$, we require a measure of the magnetization as a function of $T$ at $B \sim 0$; this was obtained from the magnitude of a magnetic Bragg diffraction peak as a function of $T$, obtained from Osborn et al. for this sample.

It should be noted that different contributions to $\sigma^2$ add up in quadrature if the different distortions are uncorrelated: $\sigma^2_{\text{total}} = \sigma^2_{\text{phonons}} + \sigma^2_{\text{polarons}} + \sigma^2_{\text{static}}$. Within the model proposed by Downward et al., the “polaron” contribution for the $x$
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FIG. 2. (Color online) EXAFS data for one experimental run for LSMO, x=0.4, ab-plane and c-axis polarizations, from 3 to 300 K. (a) kx(k) vs k, ab plane; (b) kx(k) vs k, c axis; (c) FFT[kx(k)] vs r, ab plane; (d) FFT[kx(k)] vs r, c axis. For all four figures, the lowest temperature has the highest amplitude, which decreases with increasing temperature. For the FT data, the fast oscillation is the real part of the transform FTRe, while the envelope is ±IFTr±FTIm. [k-space FT window, 3.0–11.0 Å −1, Gaussian broadened by 0.3 Å−1.]

The data were reduced using the RSXAP package,23 which implements standard EXAFS reduction techniques. A pre-edge background was removed and an experimental E0 was defined as the energy of the half-height point on the edge. The post-edge background was removed using a spline with five or six knots to approximate μ0 in μ(E)=μ0[1+χ(E)], and a self-absorption correction for the fluorescence data was applied.23 The background-subtracted data were transformed to k space using the relation k= \( \sqrt{\frac{2m(E-E_0)}{E^2}} \); examples of the k-space data for T=3 K are shown in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b).

Next the k-space data were fast Fourier transformed (FFT) to r space with a k-space window of k=[3.0–11.0 Å−1] with Gaussian tails of width 0.3 Å−1. Examples of the resulting r-space data are shown in Figs. 2(c) and 2(d).

III. DATA

The data were used reducing the RSXAP package,23 which implements standard EXAFS reduction techniques. A pre-edge background was removed and an experimental E0 was defined as the energy of the half-height point on the edge. The post-edge background was removed using a spline with five or six knots to approximate μ0 in μ(E)=μ0[1+χ(E)], and a self-absorption correction for the fluorescence data was applied.23 The background-subtracted data were transformed to k space using the relation k= \( \sqrt{\frac{2m(E-E_0)}{E^2}} \); examples of the k-space data for T=3 K are shown in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b).

Next the k-space data were fast Fourier transformed (FFT) to r space with a k-space window of k=[3.0–11.0 Å−1] with Gaussian tails of width 0.3 Å−1. Examples of the resulting r-space data are shown in Figs. 2(c) and 2(d).

IV. ANALYSIS

The data were fit to FEFF8.10-generated theoretical EXAFS functions,21 using the program rsoft (RSXAP package23). Our primary interest here is the Mn-O PDF, which has four O neighbors (O3) in the ab plane and two different O neighbors (O1, O2) along the c axis. See Fig. 3 for reference. For each polarization, the number of oxygen neighbors was fixed at the value(s) determined from the structure. In such fits, a number of other constraints on the parameters are also required. First, ΔE0 was obtained by allowing σ and ΔE0 to vary on the lowest-temperature data within a set (a set being data collected at the same facility, on the same beam-line, in the same run) and then using the value obtained from that fit to constrain ΔE0 for the rest of the data in that set. Second, because we want a single measure of the local distortions, we used only one value of σ for the Mn-O shell (for each x-ray polarization). For the parameter S0, a number of fits were carried out. S0 is an amplitude correction factor that is included to correct for multielectron effects, since multielectron absorption contributes to the edge step height but not to the EXAFS amplitude. However, it also corrects for several other small effects: small errors in the estimation of the mean free path in the theoretical calculations and a small amplitude reduction in the data because of the x-ray energy resolution and/or some harmonic content in the synchrotron beam (or pinholes in powder samples). The main effect of small changes in this parameter is a nearly rigid vertical shift of plots of σ2 versus T; to lowest order, the temperature dependence is unchanged and an effective static component is added (or subtracted). In the first set of fits, we let the amplitude A1 vary (A1=N0S0σ2) for the low-temperature ab-plane data and determined S0σ2 from those fits. Then A1 was kept constant for fits as a function of T. Further discussion about setting S0σ2 is given later.

The constraints on Δσ (the change in bond length) differ for the two polarization orientations because there is one average Mn-O3 distance in the ab plane but two distinct
distances along the $c$ axis. Initially the $r$'s were allowed to vary; for both the $ab$-plane and the $c$-axis data, we found that $r_{\text{EXAFS}}(T) = r_{\text{diffraction}}(T)$ within our error bars. However, for the $c$-axis data there is a correlation between $r$ and $\sigma$ because of the two distinct bond lengths along the $c$ axis ($\text{Mn-O1}$ and $\text{Mn-O2}$); a small variation in the splitting between these two bond lengths shows up as a variation in the average value of $\sigma$ for the $c$-axis data. To minimize such fluctuations for the $P||c$ polarization, the $r$'s for $\text{Mn-O1}$ and $\text{Mn-O2}$ were constrained to the values from the diffraction data.\(^2\) In contrast, for the $ab$-plane data there is very little variation in $\sigma$ with $r$ and correlations between $r$ and $\sigma$ were not an issue. Figure 4(a) shows examples of such a fit in $r$ space.

Figure 4 shows $\sigma^2(T)$ for both the $ab$ plane and the $c$ axis.\(^{25}\) The change in $\sigma^2$ is extremely sharp at $T_c$ and approximately follows the magnetization data\(^{26}\) [Fig. 4(b)] for both polarizations; we attribute this jump to a large increase in the Jahn-Teller distortions about the Mn site, associated with dimerons and some isolated JT distorted sites, as the temperature is increased through $T_c$. The magnitude of this jump in $\sigma^2$ is about 65\% larger for the $ab$-plane data than for the $c$-axis results, which indicates that more long bonds develop in the $ab$ plane than along the $c$ axis. In addition, Fig. 4 also suggests a second smaller jump in $\sigma^2$ just below 300 K, which will be discussed later.

It should be noted that for the February 2005 data set in Fig. 4(d) we had to use a shorter FT range, $4.5–10.0 \, \text{Å}^{-1}$, as there were two to three sample diffraction glitches that could not be reliably removed above this range, and good fits could not be obtained for $k_{\text{min}}<4.5 \, \text{Å}^{-1}$.

Following our earlier analysis for the pseudocubic LCMO system,\(^17\) the next step is to determine the thermal phonon contributions so that the contribution from JT distortions can be extracted as a function of $T$. First we provide the equations used to describe the $T$ dependence of $\sigma^2$ from phonons. In the simplest case for which the Einstein approximation is appropriate (typically when an optical mode or local mode vibration dominates),

$$\sigma^2_{\text{Einstein}} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2M_R k_B \Theta_E} \coth \frac{\Theta_E}{2T},$$

where $M_R$ is a reduced mass (the Mn-O reduced mass here), $\Theta_E$ is the Einstein temperature, and $k_B$ is Boltzmann’s constant; at low $T$ one obtains the zero-point motion while at high $T$, $\sigma^2 = k_B T/\kappa$, where $\kappa$ is the effective spring constant.
For all phonon modes including acoustic phonons, the correlated Debye model is usually a better approximation:

\[
\sigma^2_{0,\text{Debye}} = \frac{3h}{2M_R} \int_0^{\omega_D} \frac{\omega C_{ij}}{\omega^2} \coth \left( \frac{h \omega}{2k_BT} \right) d\omega; \tag{4}
\]

where \(\omega_D\) is the Debye frequency, \(C_{ij}\) is a correlation function given by \(1 - \sin(\omega_D/\omega)/\omega\), and \(c = \omega_D/\omega\), where \(k_D\) is the Debye wavelength. Again \(\sigma^2(T=0)\) gives the zero-point motion value of \(\sigma^2\). The slope of \(\sigma^2(T)\) versus \(T\) is very low at low \(T\) and increases to a constant value (determined by the spring constant, reduced mass, and \(C_{ij}\)) for \(T > \Theta_D\). Sometimes the Debye model is restricted to only the acoustic phonons; in that case, if there are several atoms per unit cell, then \(\sigma^2\) will be a sum of terms of the form given in Eqs. (3) and (4).

For both polarizations, we first tried fitting the \(\sigma^2\) versus \(T\) data above \(T_c\) (125–350 K) to a correlated Debye model plus a static offset (i.e., ignoring for now the small jump between 250 and 300 K). For the \(ab\)-plane data, this led to \(\Theta_D = 716\) K and a large static offset (expected to be mainly from the JT distortions/dimerons). However, if the static offset is then set to zero, the zero-point motion contribution to \(\sigma^2\) at low \(T\) (\(\leq 20\) K) is much higher than the experimental value of \(\sigma^2(\sim 3\,\text{K})\); to match this Debye curve to the data at low \(T\) would require \(S_0^2 = 0.87\)—the value is much higher than is observed for all our Mn K-edge data and suggests that \(\Theta_D\) is higher than 716 K. If instead one fits the low \(T\) values of \(\sigma^2\) for the \(ab\)-plane data to the zero-point-motion value within a correlated Debye model, then \(\Theta_D \approx 850–900\) K. Furthermore, since the Mn–O bond lengths in the bilayer materials do not change appreciably from those in the pseudocubic material, the stiffness should be comparable; therefore, we set \(\Theta_D = 860\) K—a value that describes this bond strength in both the Ca- and Sr-substituted LaMnO\(_3\) materials\(^{12,13,14}\) and is also consistent with our low-\(T\) value for \(\sigma^2\). This also provides a systematic means of correcting the data collected on different beamlines and with different monochromator crystals, so that they can be combined. For each data set, \(S_0^2\) was set such that the low-temperature \(\sigma^2(T)\) data are consistent with the zero-point motion value given by a Debye model with \(\Theta_D = 860\) K; this method yielded values of \(S_0^2\) between 0.78 and 0.81 for each data set. Such tiny variations in \(S_0^2\) manifest as small static, vertical shifts in \(\sigma^2(T)\).

For \(\Theta_D = 860\) K, the Debye model plus a static offset, passes through the points just above \(T_c\) (125–200 K) very well (see Fig. 5), much better than for the fit with \(\Theta_D = 716\) K, but the resulting curve is clearly below the high-\(T\) data (\(T > 250\) K) as shown in Fig. 5(b). A second fit with \(\Theta_D = 860\) K and a larger static offset passes through the high-\(T\) data well and makes the step clearer. This second transition, which occurs between 250 and 290 K, correlates quite well with features observed in other measurements.\(^{2,4,6,7}\) Similarly, for the \(c\)-axis data, a fit over the restricted range 125–175 K yields \(\Theta_D \approx 860\) K.

![Figure 5](image-url)
in the legend (Fig. 7); their ratio is \( \sim 3.2 \). A comparison with the absolute slopes for the LCMO system shows that the high slopes for the two systems are quite similar—the high slope for the bilayer LSMO \( x = 0.4 \) is defined as the difference between the horizontal line and \( \sigma^2 \) in the legend (Fig. 7). The decrease in JT distortion, \( \Delta(\sigma^2) \), as \( T \) decreases below \( T_c \), is the same as that for the 40% Ca LCMO sample within the error of the slopes.

Whether the quasiparticles that form the ferromagnetic domains are isolated dimers or clusters of dimers cannot be determined from our data; it only requires that such quasiparticles contain equal numbers of hole and electron sites. Additional evidence for clustering comes from inverse susceptibility \( [\chi^{-1}(T)] \) data of Gu et al., which show a deviation from the Curie-Weiss law. For \( 120 < T < 280 \) K, the slope of \( \chi^{-1}(T) \) suggests clusters of four to five Mn moments. Similar data from Velázquez et al. also show a deviation from the Curie-Weiss law, but over a much larger temperature range. Their data suggest three approximately linear regimes, which give \( \sim 4 \) Mn-site clusters in the \( T_c \) to 270 K range, \( \sim 2 \) Mn-site clusters for \( 300 < T < 480 \) K, and single Mn sites (localized electron and hole sites) in the high-\( T \) range \( (480 < T < 600 \) K).

For the \( c \)-axis data, the interpretation is more complicated because of the two distinct Mn-O bonds (Mn-O1 and Mn-O2). Since small changes in the splitting of these bond lengths can mimic a change in \( \sigma^2 \) and (two bond lengths are required in the fits), there is a larger systematic uncertainty for this polarization orientation. The magnitude of the step at \( T_c \) is clearly smaller than for the \( ab \) plane, and the \( c \)-axis data above \( T_c \) are again suggestive of a second small step with a transition within the range 200–260 K, possibly slightly lower than for the \( ab \) plane. However, the data are not good enough to determine either the step size or the step position as accurately as for the \( ab \)-plane data. In addition, the \( c \)-axis data suggest, at a 1-sigma statistical level, that there is a slight increase in \( \sigma^2 \) at low \( T \); it is as yet unclear whether or not this is a real effect or the result of using bond lengths fixed at the values from diffraction.

## V. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

It is important to note that if the magnetization develops uniformly throughout the sample, i.e., the domains are compact and all the Mn sites are considered equivalent in terms of magnetization, then when a fraction \( y \) of the sample has been magnetized, a fraction \( y \) of the electron (Jahn-Teller active) sites within this domain should be magnetized as well. Within this model, we have the following dependencies on the fraction of magnetized sites at a hole concentration \( x \):

\[
\Delta(\sigma^2)(y) \approx y(1 - x),
\]

\[
M(y) = y[x \mu_1 + \mu_2(1 - x)],
\]

where \( \mu_1 \) and \( \mu_2 \) are the moments of the hole and electron sites, respectively. Since both \( M(y) \) and \( \Delta(\sigma^2)(y) \) are a linear function of \( y \), \( \Delta(\sigma^2) \) would be a linear function of \( M/M_0 \) over the entire range and not have a strong break in slope. This argument does not change if there are fluctuating sites as long as all Mn sites are equivalent magnetic sites. The two distinct slopes in Fig. 7 show directly that there are two types of sites.

The JT distortions for this sample are shown in Fig. 6; note that some JT/dimer distortion persists below \( T_c \), and that it decreases as the magnetization increases to its saturation value at very low temperatures (see Fig. 7). In contrast, in the analysis of diffuse scattering data in Refs. 19 and 20, the authors have assumed that there are no JT distortions below \( T_c \) and use a straight line through the low-\( T \) data as the background thermal phonon contribution.19,20 In addition, the EXAFS data also evince the persistence of JT-like distortions far above \( T_c \), which also contrasts with the background-subtracted diffuse scattering results; Vasiliu-Doloc et al. report a large decrease (\( \sim 80\% \)) in the scattering from individual polarons (from the Huang scattering) at 300 K.19,20 However, a review of the total diffuse scattering (which includes the small diffuse phonon scattering contribution in addition to Huang scattering) and the EXAFS \( \sigma^2 \) data without any background subtraction indicates that these two very
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FIG. 8. (Color online) The correlation between the width of the Mn-O pair distribution function and the diffuse scattering amplitude. The scale of the correlation (the slope) is $2.0 \times 10^{-6}$ Å$^2$/count.

different data sets look surprisingly similar. To explore this we plotted $\sigma^2$ versus total diffuse scattering; the only correction used was to account for the slightly different temperature at which the step occurs—the step in the diffuse scattering occurs slightly below that in the magnetization plot while the step in the EXAFS is slightly above the magnetization step. With this slight correction, the plot—which covers the entire temperature range from 10 to 300 K—is very linear, as shown in Fig. 8. This demonstrates a very striking relationship between the diffuse scattering and $\sigma^2$ for the Mn-O bond, which needs to be explored further; however, that is beyond the scope of this paper. Additional work will be needed to understand these similarities and differences.

In conclusion, results of an EXAFS study of the bilayer perovskite, La$_{1.2}$Sr$_{1.8}$Mn$_2$O$_7$, show that polaronlike distortions of the Mn-O pair distribution function and the diffuse scattering amplitude. The scale of the correlation (the slope) is $2.0 \times 10^{-6}$ Å$^2$/count.

netization are linearly correlated, but the dimeron distortion initially removed is small. There is a break in the linear slope of distortion versus magnetization when most of the sample has been magnetized; the break in the slope occurs roughly when $M/M_0$ is twice the hole concentration, $2x$. This provides further evidence that there are two types of distortions in the CMR manganites. The sample initially becomes magnetized via the aggregation of dimeron pairs or clusters of pairs, which have a reduced Jahn-Teller distortion and contain the hole carriers. The small remaining fraction of electron sites must have a large distortion per site and become magnetized when $M/M_0 > 0.8$, presumably via a proximity effect.

Furthermore, the data show evidence for a second step in distortion, for $T_T \approx 250$ K, in the $c$ axis and a similar transition in the $ab$ plane. This $T_T$ is near but slightly below a $T_T^*$ reported by Argyriou and others, below which the material shows short-range order down to $T_T$. It is also where the amplitude of an incoherent peak becomes small, which has been interpreted as a decrease in the size of the polaron clusters. This may suggest that these small polaron clusters (dimeron clusters) have slightly less average distortion per Mn site than the single dimeron.
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18 Downward et al. argue that these dimeron pairs probably form at some temperature $T^*$ above $T_c$.17
25 Though there are two slightly different Mn-O bond lengths along the c axis, each with their own distribution function, the same $\sigma$ is used to describe the broadening. The main reason is to have a single parameter describing the overall distortion to compare the results for the two polarization orientations and with $M/M_0$. In addition, $\chi^2$fit could not successfully resolve one peak from the other if $\sigma$ and $r$ were allowed to vary for both peaks.
26 The magnetization from neutron diffraction (magnetic Bragg peak) is very sharp at low $M$, while both the EXAFS and the bulk magnetization show rounding. We have combined the two magnetization measurements as follows: Because of magnetic domain effects in the bulk measurements at high $M$, we have used only the magnetization from neutron diffraction above $M/M_0 \approx 0.7$. Below 0.7, we have averaged the two results. Without our inclusion of rounding, all the points below $M/M_0 \approx 0.3$ would shift below 0.
29 B. K. Teo, EXAFS: Basic Principles and Data Analysis (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986).